Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	09/847,513	DELONG ET AL.
	y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Teresa E. Strzelecka</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Miriam Kaplan</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 June 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
42, 44			!
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.	•		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Tersa Strelectia			
	Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Kaplan was contacted because the newly amended claims 42 and 44 were not allowable, reading on any cell membrane. Ms. Kaplan agreed to add the limitation "comprising the proteorhodopsin protein of claim 1" to claims 42 and 44, putting the application in condition for allowance..